

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/759,267	01/20/2004	Geum-Jin Yun	2557-000206/US 6863		
30593	7590 01/30/2006		EXAMINER		
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			PATEL, PARESH H		
P.O. BOX 8910 RESTON, VA 20195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2829		
		DATE MAILED: 01/30/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\overline{}$
10/759,267	YUN ET AL.	(fm)
Examiner	Art Unit	
Paresh Patel	2829	

	T diesit i deci	2020				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 19 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) i se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply	affidavit, or other evidence, which n compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)	ļ			
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mai	ling date of the final rejection.	л			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		HE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR tension and the corresponding amou shortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing	nt of the fee. The appropriate extension fee riginally set in the final Office action; or (2) a	S			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since	:			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see N w);	OTE below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially	reducing or simplifying the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ejected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-						
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 		e timely filed amendment canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	,			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	│ will not be entered, or b) │ vided below or appended.	will be entered and an explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			ı			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fails to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowance because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				
		to all				
		Paresh Patel 01/26/06 Primary Examiner				
		Art Unit: 2829				

Continuation of 13. Other: Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's argument about "an integrated burn-in test program". Examiner believes that fig. 1 uses burn-in test for multi-chip package as claimed (see paragraph 0005 of specification), therefore APA in fig. 1 discloses test program for temperature, voltage and clock signal for each of the semiconductor device of multi-chip package as claimed. Because of the same reason it is obvious to combine fig. 1 and fig. 2 as further mentioned in the office action of 10/29/2005.